

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY, DOCKET NO.
MICHAEL J S 1726 CREEK VIENNA VA 2	CROSSING R	MICHAEL QM12/0628 OAD	٦		EXAMINER PAPER NUMBER 06/28/01
				DATE MAILED:	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/541,986

Applicant(s)

Shea

Examiner

Glenn Richman

Art Unit **3764**



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address		
Period f	for Reply			
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE3 MONTH(S) FROM		
	nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Cl ter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic	FR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed sation.		
- If the		s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will		
- If NO		period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this		
- Failur - Any r	re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by	y statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). e mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any		
Status 1) ⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Jun 20, 2</u>	2001		
2a) 🗌		tion is non-final.		
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance ϵ closed in accordance with the practice under $Ex\ pa$	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is arte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposi	tion of Claims			
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>20-24</u>	is/are pending in the application.		
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.		
6) 💢	Claim(s) <u>20-24</u>	is/are rejected.		
7) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.		
8) 🗆	Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Applica	tion Papers			
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	objected to by the Examiner.		
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved.		
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	iner.		
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).		
a) [☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:			
•	1. \square Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e been received.		
		ve been received in Application No		
	application from the International Bure			
	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	·		
14)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).		
Attachme	ent(s)			
_	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).		
	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	9) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		
17) [Inf	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	20) Other:		

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/541,986

Art Unit: 3764

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 20, and 22-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Koch et al.

Koch et al does not disclose using a remote computer for transmitting advertisements.

Koch et al do however, disclose using a television for transmitting advertisements. It would have been obvious to use a remote computer for transmitting with Koch et al's exercise machine, in lieu of a television, as it well known for using a remote computer for transmitting information, and as and transmitting device could be used to achieve the same expected result, i.e., receiving a advertisement.

As for claims 22 and 23, Koch et al disclose a stair stepper (10), and displaying while the exercisers are using the device (see abstract).

As for claim 24, it would be obvious to arrange the exercise device(s) in a health club, as it is well known to arrange stair steppers in a health club.

3. Claims 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Koch et al in view of Jason et al.

Koch et al do not disclose a bicycle.

Jason et al disclose a bicycle.

Art Unit: 3764

It would have been obvious to use Jason et al's bicycle, with Koch et al's exercise device, as they are both performing the same claimed invention, i.e., receiving advertising on exercise equipment.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Webb discloses a stair stepping exercise apparatus which displays advertising, via TV programs and which allows a user to view either the TV program, or exercise data.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Glenn Richman whose telephone number is (703)308-3170. The examiner can normally be reached Tuesday through Thursday from 7:30 AM to 6:00 PM Eastern time. The facsimile number for Art Unit 3764 is (703)308-0758. The facsimile number for submitting formal papers to Technology Center 3700 is (703)305-3590.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group 3700 receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0858 or to Customer Service at (703)306-6789.

gr June 26, 2001 Glenn Richman Primary Examiner AU 3764